



Minutes: Monday December 12 13 2016.

Ref:MinDec016

- **1. Present**: Stephen Browne, Vitas Puig, Shirley Rollitt, David Rollitt, John Burgess, Hugh Mortimer, Denis Browne, Kath Richardson, Marikyn Bater, Anna Kingston-Jones, Derek Collett and Chris Dakers.
- 2. Apologies: John Todd, Christopher Richards and David Farmery
- 3. Minutes of Last Meeting: Approved as correct record.
- **4. Re-organising the BCC**. A proposal, made by Stephen Browne to amend the way we respond to consultations (see attached notes), was approved. It was also agreed that the BCC would increase its efforts to enlarge its membership with existing funds and that subscriptions would not be raised.
- **5. Heritage Issues**: Syon Lane and Kew Palace. Issue deferred as Keith Garner (Consultant to Kew Gardens) was unable to attend.
- **6. Plan First**: Paper prepared by Denis for G15+ and notes by Nick Marbrough were discussed. BCC wished to publish the paper, but it was agreed that as the draft was written for G15+ who would be discussing it in January in preparation for a RAF meeting with Cllr Steve Curran it would be inappropriate to do so before G15+ decided how to proceed.
- **7. Chiswick Curve**. BCC were shown D&A's amendment by planning consultants DP9, and BCC letters BCC759 and 760 with a draft of a further letter, BCC779, which was subsequently circulated for final comments before it is sent to LBH on Dec 18.
- **8. Capital Interchange Way**: Noted consultation extended to January. BCC reiterated objection to over development and design. Stephen to organise new style consultation in parallel with a further draft paper by Denis, which would be submitted to BCC on Jan 9.
- **9. London Irish.** BCC considered proposal by Gunnersbury that application should be deferred until stadium was complete and any disruption could be evaluated. Opinion was divided as to whether to support this view. Views have been requested on consultation response.
- **10. AOB**: It was noted that 20mph speed limit is now in force, that the library remained closed and that Ballymore had initiated meetings on the SSHS water edge. (Kath and Nigel representing BCC) and that Ballymore had now indicated that a start on site was expected in 2018.
- 11. Dates of next meetings: January 9 and February 13



Notes on NEW CONSULTATION RESPONSE SYSTEM

- 1. Stephen introduced his proposal by saying that after consulting Peter Eversden, Marie at Gunnersbury and David Pavett at Ostlerley he wished the BCC to amend the way we respond to consultations on planning applications and Local Plan Reviews.
- 2. When notification of a 21 day consultation was received a BCC member would be nominated as Application Lead to co-ordinate our response.
- 3. The Application Lead would be responsible for putting the application details including drawings and schedules held by the applicant or the Council online and inviting comments and responses by a specific date.
- 4. The Application Lead would consult the Council case officer and share any information received on line.
- 5. The Application Lead would then collate the views he had received and any relevant planning policy references and compile a draft response.
- 6 The Application Lead's draft would be circulated to BCC members who had asked to be involved. Their responses should reach the Application Lead in time for the final submission to be lodged within the consultation period.
- 7. If the BCC decides to request an opportunity to speak against an application at a public meeting or at a meeting of the Planning Committee the Application Lead should ideally represent the BCC.
- 8. When the BCC received a pre-application presentation the Application Lead should be appointed at the outset and continue until the formal application was put out to consultation.
- 9. When Local Plan Reviews are subject to consultation (as is anticipated next spring) the Application Lead would collect views on each issue being reviewed and collate and ideally present them at informal meetings and subsequently defend them at meetings with the inspector at his public local inquiry.

Caveats:

It is understood that public speaking / presentation is not for everyone so it may be another BCC representation is to undertake these requirements identified in #7 and #9 above.