



Part B - Please use a separate sheet for each representation

Name or Organisation: Brentford Community Council

3. To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate?

Paragraph(s)	<input type="text" value="Various"/>	Policy	<input type="text" value="Spatial Strategy"/>	Figure/Site	<input type="text"/>
--------------	--------------------------------------	--------	---	-------------	----------------------

4. Do you Consider the Local Plan is:

(Please tick as appropriate)

i) Legally Compliant	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
ii) Sound	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
iii) Complies with the Duty to co-operate	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>

5. Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

Unfortunately the Plan depends on concentrated investment in Brentford much of which is unsound and inappropriate. In particular the plan’s key diagram (page 31) shows Brentford as an “Area of significant growth, investment and regeneration” by enclosing the whole area in a single designation.

The consequences of this proposal are:

1. That development is not designed to improve the viability and vitality of the Brentford District Centre
2. That development in Osterley and along the Great West Road is not connected to the Town Centre
3. The retail growth and office developments outside the Town Centre are not restricted
4. That FALP housing targets for the whole borough will be disproportionately met in Brentford, where there are not enough sites to provide the housing required at London Plan densities, nor the infrastructure needed to ensure that Brentford is a sustainable community.
5. That new development in areas with low PTAL (including the Great West Road) which have failed to attract development finance for commercial development in



the past will not be suitable for sustainable redevelopment during the plan period unless transport links are significantly improved to alleviate congestion BEFORE these sites are developed.

6. That residential development could be sited in areas of high pollution such as the Great West Road.
7. That this concentration of construction in Brentford will not respect the character and history of Brentford which is still a self contained community defined by historic parks with a unique character deriving from its location.

(Continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

6. Please set out what modification (s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at 5 above where this relates to soundness. *(NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination)*. You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

The Plan should be modified so that:

1. Policies TC1, TC2 and ED3 prioritise the development of Town and District Centres to include a wide variety of uses, designed to respect the character, tradition and scale of each centre and provide a well connected focus for all development in their area.
2. Policies do not encourage growth outside of centres that would be to their detriment.
3. Development plans should only be based on transport improvements which can confidently be planned and financed during the plan period.
4. Housing growth is not concentrated over a short period in one area of the borough. Viable sustainable communities prosper from slow growth with a balanced housing provision and all the infrastructure in place to meet the needs of the expanding community.
5. Policies to reduce pollution are operational before development is permitted.
6. Policies explicitly refer to the Character Studies which accompanied this plan. All developments should enhance Conservation Areas, the settings of listed buildings, distant views from our historic estates and the character and urban grain.

(Continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested modification, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage.

After this stage, further submissions will only be at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.



7. If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination.

Yes, I wish to participate at the oral examination

8. If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

To clarify the reasons why the plan is fundamentally unsound

Please note the inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.

9. Signature:

Date: