

For Attention of Marilyn Smith and  
Robert Coomber  
By E mail

Dear Marilyn and Robert,

21. 05. 09.  
BCC 452 dmb

**Planning Applications in Brentford:  
Views Adopted by BCC Planning Consultative Committee  
May 20 2009.**

1. Alfa Laval. Advertisement Shroud. We do not oppose the continuation of this feature for up to 2 years providing the lighting is turned off every night at 10pm.
2. Green Dragons Primary School Children Centre. Application supported.
3. 153 Popes Lane. No objection if not visible from within Gunnersbury Park.
4. 51 Hamilton Road. We assume that the front roof windows follow the line of the tiles. On this assumption we raise no objections.
5. 80 Brook Road South. If the front roof velux windows were brought down the roof and aligned with the sash windows below we would raise no objection.
6. 35 Lateward Road. No objection.
7. 57/59 Kew Bridge Road. Support application.

We request that the "parapet" and the top floor design for proposed for the front elevation is also applied to the western gable as the building is seen at an oblique angle when cross sing Kew Bridge and will be the dominant feature in between the Express PH and the listed Kew Bridge Station building.

Please can you advise us when the officers report on the Scottish Widows site, Kew Bridge will be available on the web and of the committee date.

The Pottery Arms PH, 25 Clayponds Lane. English Heritage (Mr Philip Seely, Territory Co-ordinator South, has written to say (ref168143) that this building “is not of sufficient architectural interest to merit listing in a national context”

The BCC would request that this building should be treated as if it were a listed building and given local protection. We do not wish to challenge the EH decision, but we do consider that in the Brentford context this building is an important landmark.

We ask that the application to develop the site should be refused and that the applicant be advised that a new application restoring the existing building sympathetically for a residential use and limiting additions to a 2 storey building in keeping with the former PH would be more acceptable.

Yours sincerely

Denis Browne  
Chairman, Planning Consultative Committee.